arXiv:1404.3161v1 [math-ph] 11 Apr 2014

Killing tensors, Warped Products and The Orthogonal Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

Krishan Rajaratnam*, Raymond G. McLenaghan†
Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Canada

April 14, 2014

Abstract

We study Killing tensors in the context of warped products and apply the results to the problem of orthogonal separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. This work is motivated primarily by the case of spaces of constant curvature where warped products are abundant. We first characterize Killing tensors which have a natural algebraic decomposition in warped products. We then apply this result to show how one can obtain the Killing-Stäckel space (KS-space) for separable coordinate systems decomposable in warped products. This result in combination with Benenti's theory for constructing the KS-space of certain special separable coordinates can be used to obtain the KS-space for all orthogonal separable coordinates found by Kalnins and Miller in Riemannian spaces of constant curvature. Next we characterize when a natural Hamiltonian is separable in coordinates decomposable in a warped product by showing that the conditions originally given by Benenti can be reduced. Finally we use this characterization and concircular tensors (a special type of torsionless conformal Killing tensor) to develop a general algorithm to determine when a natural Hamiltonian is separable in a special class of separable coordinates which include all orthogonal separable coordinates in spaces of constant curvature.

Contents

Acronyms iii

List of Notations iii

List of New Results iv

List of Known Results v

List of Examples vi

*e-mail: k2rajara@uwaterloo.ca
†e-mail: rgmclenaghan@uwaterloo.ca

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries and Notation **3**
2.1 Brief outline of The Classification of Pseudo-Riemannian Distributions 5
2.2 Twisted and Warped Products 6
2.3 Conformal Killing tensors 9
2.4 Orthogonal Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation 9

3 Orthogonal conformal Killing tensors **10**

4 Killing tensors in Warped Products **15**
4.1 Preliminaries 16
4.2 Killing tensors in Warped Products 17
4.3 Killing-Stäckel spaces in Warped Products 20

5 Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation in Warped Products **21**

6 Main Application: Concircular tensors and The BEKM Separation Algorithm **25**
6.1 Concircular tensors 25
6.2 KEM webs and Separable Webs in Spaces of Constant Curvature 28
6.3 The BEKM Separation Algorithm 31

7 Conclusion **34**

References **36**

Acronyms

KV Killing vector 8
KT Killing tensor 8, 15, 21
ChKT characteristic Killing tensor 9
CKT conformal Killing tensor 8
CT concircular tensor also called a C-tensor 2, 25
OCT orthogonal concircular tensor 25
KS-space Killing-Stackel space 1, 9, 15
KEM Kalnins-Eisenhart-Miller 2
BEKM Benenti-Eisenhart-Kalnins-Miller 2
KBD Killing Bertrand-Darboux 30
KBDT Killing Bertrand-Darboux tensor 25
WP-net warped product net 7
TP-net twisted product net 7

List of Notations

$\oplus$ The orthogonal direct sum. 3
$\mathcal{F}(M)$ The set of functions defined on the manifold M. 3
$\mathfrak{X}(M)$ The set of vector fields defined on the manifold M. 3
$\Gamma(E)$ The set of vector fields tangent to the distribution E. 3
$S^p(M)$ The set of symmetric contravariant tensors of valence p defined on the manifold M. 3
$\odot$ The symmetric product of two tensors, i.e. if u, v are tensors then $u \odot v$ is the symmetrization of $u \otimes v$. 2

List of New Results

Corollary 3.4 12
Corollary 3.5 Conformal Killing tensors induce twisted product nets 13
Proposition 3.6 13
Corollary 3.7 14
Proposition 4.3 Killing tensors in Warped Products 17
Corollary 4.5 19
Corollary 4.7 Extending a Killing-Stäckel space into a Warped Product 20
Proposition 4.9 The Killing-Stäckel space of a reducible separable web 21
Proposition 5.2 Reduction of The dKdV equation on Warped Products 23
Theorem 5.3 Separation in Warped Products 24
Proposition 6.8 KEM webs 29
Theorem 6.9 Separable Webs in Spaces of Constant Curvature 29
Theorem 6.11 KEM Separation Theorem 30

List of Known Results

Theorem 2.2 Characterizations of integrable nets [RS99] 4
Proposition 2.8 Properties of the Twisted Product [MRS99] 7
Theorem 2.11 Twisted and warped product nets [MRS99] 8
Proposition 2.13 Lifting isometries from Killing distributions 9
Theorem 2.14 Orthogonal Separation of Geodesic Hamiltonians [Ben97] 10
Theorem 2.15 Benenti's Theorem [Ben97] 10
Theorem 3.1 Geometric Characterization of Orthogonal CKTs [CFS06] 11
Proposition 3.8 Restriction of CKTs to Invariant Submanifolds 15
Corollary 4.4 WP-nets always admit KTs 19
Proposition 5.1 The dKdV Equation in the eigenframe 22
Theorem 6.1 Characterization of orthogonal CTs 26
Theorem 6.3 The Vector Space of Concircular Tensors [TCS05] 28

List of Examples

Example 2.4 7
Example 2.5 7
Example 2.6 7
Example 2.7 Prototypical warped product 7
Example 4.6 Separation in FRW metrics 20
Example 4.10 21
Example 4.11 21
Example 6.5 KEM webs 28
Example 6.15 Calogero-Moser system 32
Example 6.16 Separation in Static space-times 33

1 Introduction

Eisenhart first showed that a special type of Killing 2-tensor hereafter after called a characteristic Killing tensor, can be used to intrinsically characterize coordinates which orthogonally separate the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation [Eis34]. Benenti has generalized this result to Hamiltonians with potentials and to the non-orthogonal case [Ben97].

Before continuing a discussion of the important results of the theory, we introduce some crucial notions. A *separable web* is a collection $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=1}^n$ of n pair-wise orthogonal 1-distributions (line bundles) E_i, where each E_i is spanned by one of the n coordinate vector fields of a separable coordinate system. In other words, $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$ are the n eigenspaces of a characteristic Killing tensor associated with a separable coordinate system. A *warped product* is a product manifold $M = \prod_{i=0}^k M_i$ of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (M_i, g_i) where $\dim M_i > 0$ for $i > 0$ equipped with the metric

$$g = \pi_0^* g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_i^2 \pi_i^* g_i$$

where $\rho_i : M_0 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ are functions and $\pi_i : M \to M_i$ are the canonical projection maps [MRS99]. A separable web $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=1}^n$ is called *reducible* if there exists a warped product $M = \prod_{i=0}^k M_i$ such that each E_i is a section of the tangent bundle $TL_j \subset TM$ for some j where L_j is the canonical foliation associated with M_j. In order to make this last definition non-trivial, we must have $\dim M_0 > 0$ or $k > 1$. Finally for the rest of the introduction and through most of the article, *tensor* refers to a 2-tensor.

Based on Eisenhart's initial work in [Eis34], Kalnins and Miller have obtained a recursive classification of all separable webs for Riemannian spaces of constant curvature [KM86; Kal86]. An examination of their classification reveals that many of the separable webs they discovered are reducible. This was our initial motivation for studying Killing tensors and warped products.

It is known that to each separable web there are n (including the metric) independent Lie-Schouten commuting Killing tensors diagonalized in any coordinates adapted to the separable web [Ben04]. These Killing tensors span an n dimensional vector space called the *Killing-Stackel space (KS-space)* associated to the separable web [Ben04].

Working along the lines of the general theory of orthogonal separation in terms of characteristic Killing tensors, Benenti discovered a special class of separable webs for which the KS-space could be obtained algebraically [Ben92a] using a special conformal Killing tensor hereafter called a Benenti tensor. These results are primarily applicable to spaces of constant curvature, for example to the elliptic and parabolic webs [Ben92a]. They have been further refined in [Ben93; Ben04; Ben05]. Crampin showed [Cra03] that a Benenti tensor L could be intrinsically characterized as a symmetric contravariant tensor field whose associated $\binom{1}{1}$-tensor has point-wise simple real eigenvalues satisfying

the following equation:

$$\nabla_x L = \alpha \odot x$$

for all vector fields x and some vector field α, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and $\odot$ denotes the symmetric product. He went on to study the remarkable properties possessed by these tensors (some of which we shall briefly review later). Hereafter we refer to a symmetric contravariant tensor L satisfying the above equation as a *concircular tensor also called a C-tensor (CT)*. We use this name because concircular tensors can be viewed as generalizations of concircular vectors [Cra07]. Concircular tensors have also been called special conformal Killing tensors by Crampin in [Cra03] and J-tensors by Benenti in [Ben05] where they are studied more thoroughly. One of our discoveries is that point-wise diagonalizable concircular tensors have a fundamental role to play in orthogonal separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in spaces of constant curvature. This role will become clear after reading Section 6.

An unsolved problem within the theory is that of obtaining a basis for the KS-space for the separable webs found by Kalnins and Miller [Kal86] by using an algebraic procedure such as that in [Ben92a]. The results obtained by Benenti in [Ben92a] could be used to obtain a basis for the KS-space associated with certain "irreducible" separable webs obtained by Kalnins and Miller such as the elliptic and parabolic webs. In this article we define the notion of a *Kalnins-Eisenhart-Miller (KEM) web*, which is (roughly speaking) a web built up recursively by using concircular tensors. We show that all the separable metrics found by Kalnins and Miller in [Kal86] are associated to KEM webs, hence showing that all separable webs in Riemannian spaces of constant curvature are KEM webs. In combination with other results presented in this article and by generalizing Benenti's theory presented in [Ben92a], one can show that a basis for the KS-space of any KEM web can be obtained algebraically using concircular tensors provided the warped product decompositions of the space are known. The specifics of this result will appear elsewhere. We note that warped product decompositions of spaces of constant curvature can be obtained in a straightforward manner and that they are well known in $\mathbb{E}^n$ and $\mathbb{S}^n$ (some of which are closely related to spherical coordinates), see [Nol96] for more details. In a subsequent expository article (see [Raj14b]) we shall describe the warped product decompositions of all spaces of constant curvature similar in style to [Nol96].

Another related problem we solve in this article is that of separating natural Hamiltonians defined in spaces of constant curvature. Taking advantage of the fact that separable webs in such spaces are KEM webs, we present a recursive algorithm (called the *Benenti-Eisenhart-Kalnins-Miller (BEKM)* separation algorithm) which uses concircular tensors to determine when a natural Hamiltonian defined over a space of constant curvature is separable. This algorithm is equivalent to that derived by Waksjo and Wojciechowski in [WW03] (by doing lengthy coordinate calculations based on Stäckel theory) for $\mathbb{E}^n$ and $\mathbb{S}^n$. It should be noted that the BEKM separation algorithm is applicable to hyperbolic space and other signatures such as Minkowski space-time.

The approach taken in this article is based on the discovery (to be presented) that a

multidimensional eigenspace of a concircular tensor[1] naturally induces a warped product. Generalizing this fact, we make use of a formulation of Killings equation in terms of the geometry of the eigenspaces of a Killing tensor, originally given in [CFS06]. We use this formulation together with the theory of twisted and warped products presented in [MRS99] to show that a general (conformal) Killing tensor naturally induces a twisted product, see Corollary 3.5. Continuing in this more general setting, in Proposition 4.3 we characterize the Killing tensors which have a natural algebraic decomposition in a warped product. Building on this result, in Proposition 4.9 we characterize the KS-space of a reducible separable web. Then we move on to study the problem of separating natural Hamiltonians in reducible separable webs; this culminates in Theorem 5.3.

Finally in the last section, we present the main results of this article concerning the application of concircular tensors to the orthogonal separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. This is done by applying the theory presented earlier which covered general warped products. In particular, we present some preliminary theory on concircular tensors, apply this theory to introduce the notion of KEM webs and then prove their relation to separable webs in spaces of constant curvature. We conclude by introducing the BEKM separation algorithm which can determine separability of natural Hamiltonians in KEM webs.

The results presented in this article and much more can be found in thesis of the first author [Raj14a].

2 Preliminaries and Notation

All differentiable structures are assumed to be smooth (class C^∞). Without further specification the assumed context is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold M of dimension n equipped with covariant metric g. The contravariant metric is usually denoted by G and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ plays the role of the covariant and contravariant metric depending on the arguments. We denote $S^p(M)$ as the set of symmetric contravariant tensor fields of valence p on M. Furthermore $\mathcal{F}(M) = S^0(M)$ is the set of functions from M to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the set of vector fields over M. If $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ then $\nabla f \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the gradient of f, i.e. the vector field metrically equivalent to $\mathrm{d}f$. Given a vector bundle E, $\Gamma(E)$ denotes the set of sections of E.

We shall refer to a distribution E as *non-degenerate* if the induced metric on E is non-degenerate at each point. The following notion of orthogonal nets will be useful:

Definition 2.1 (Orthogonal Nets [MRS99])
A family $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=1}^k$ of non-degenerate integrable distributions E_i on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold M is called an *orthogonal net* on M if the tangent bundle TM

[1] To be precise, we always assume these tensors (their associated endomorphisms) are point-wise diagonalizable.

can be decomposed as:

$$TM = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} E_i$$

Note that equations such as above are interpreted point-wise and that the symbol $\oplus$ stands for "orthogonal direct sum". □

Our remaining notations related to nets mostly follow the notations in [MRS99]. Suppose $M = \prod_{i=1}^{k} M_i$ is a pseudo-Riemannian product. We denote $M_{i\perp} := M_1 \times \cdots \times M_{i-1} \times M_{i+1} \times \cdots \times M_k$ and the canonical projections $\pi_i : M \to M_i$ by $p \to p_i$ for each i. We denote by L_i the canonical foliation of M induced by M_i. For $\bar{p} \in M$, the leaf of $L_i(\bar{p})$ through $\bar{p}$ and the canonical embedding of M_i in M denoted τ_i are given by

$$\tau_i(p) := (\bar{p}_1, \ldots, \bar{p}_{i-1}, p, \bar{p}_{i+1}, \ldots, \bar{p}_k), \quad p \in M_i$$
$$L_i(\bar{p}) := \tau_i(M_i) = \{p \in M : p = \tau_i(p_i),\ p_i \in M_i\}$$

We let E_i denote the integrable distribution induced by L_i, then $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=1}^{k}$ is called the *product net* of $\prod_{i=1}^{k} M_i$.

We can naturally "lift" any tensor defined on the manifolds M_i to M. For example if $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{F}(M_i)$ then the lift is $\varphi := \tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_i \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, we denote the set of all such functions on M of this form by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(M_i)$. For $\tilde{v} \in \mathfrak{X}(M_i)$, the lift is the unique vector field $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that $(\pi_i)_* v = \tilde{v}$ and $(\pi_{i\perp})_* v = 0$. Analogously we denote the set of all such vector fields on M of this form by $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$. $\hat{S}^p(M_i)$ is defined similarly. Note that it if $v \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ and $u \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_j)$, then $(\pi_i)_*[v, u] = [\tilde{v}, \tilde{u}]$ if $i = j$ and $[v, u] = 0$ if $i \neq j$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket. Also note that usually we will use the same symbol for a tensor and its lift. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, we say that φ is independent of M_i (or E_i) if $\varphi \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}(M_{i\perp})$; if M is connected this is equivalent to $\varphi_* E_i = 0$. We say that φ depends only on M_i (or E_i) if $\varphi \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}(M_i)$.

A net $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be *(locally) integrable* (or *locally decomposable* in [MRS99]) if for every $p \in M$ there exists a neighborhood $U \subseteq M$ of p and a C^∞-diffeomorphism f from a product manifold $\prod_{i=1}^{k} M_i$ onto U such that for every $q \in \prod_{i=1}^{k} M_i$ and every $i = 1, ..., k$ the slice $(q_1, ..., q_{i-1}) \times M_i \times (q_{i+1}, ..., q_k)$ gets mapped into an integral manifold of E_i. In this case, the product manifold $\prod_{i=1}^{k} M_i$ is said to be (locally) *adapted* to $\mathcal{E}$. A net $\mathcal{E}$ is called an *(orthogonal) web* if it is integrable and $\dim E_i = 1$ for each i. Given a collection of distributions $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=1}^{k}$ on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, we say the collection is *orthogonally integrable* if $\mathcal{E}$ forms an integrable net. In [RS99, Theorem 1] the following has been shown, which justifies the term "orthogonally integrable"

Theorem 2.2 (Characterizations of integrable nets [RS99])
For the decomposition $TM = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} E_i$ by the family of distributions $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=1}^{k}$, the following are equivalent

1. *$\mathcal{E}$ is an integrable net.*
2. *The orthogonal distributions $E_i^\perp$ are integrable for $i = 1, ..., k$.*
3. *The distributions E_i and their direct sums $E_i \oplus E_j$ are integrable for $i, j = 1, ..., k$.* □

Without further specification, *tensor* is short for valence 2-tensor field and being on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, the type depends on the context. If T is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle, and λ is an eigenfunction of T, then the eigenspace corresponding to λ is $E_\lambda := \ker(T - \lambda I)$. A distribution D is called *T-invariant* if $T_p D_p \subseteq D_p$ for all $p \in M$. By an *orthogonal tensor*, we mean a symmetric contravariant tensor whose uniquely determined endomorphism of TM is point-wise diagonalizable with real eigenvalues. One can check that the eigenspaces of such an endomorphism (which is a *self-adjoint* operator) are necessarily pair-wise orthogonal non-degenerate subspaces. An endomorphism T is said to have a *simple eigenvalue* λ, if λ is real and has algebraic multiplicity equal to 1. T is said to have *simple eigenvalues* if all its eigenvalues are simple. We say an endomorphism field T has *simple eigenfunctions* if it's eigenfunctions are point-wise simple. Finally note that all our arguments are local, we work in a neighborhood of a point where the dimensions of the eigenspaces don't vary, hence we can assume the eigenspaces are distributions.

2.1 Brief outline of The Classification of Pseudo-Riemannian Distributions

The following exposition of the classification of pseudo-Riemannian distributions is a combination of that from [MRS99] and [CFS06]. Suppose E is an m-dimensional non-degenerate distribution. Then we use the orthogonal splitting $TM = E \oplus E^\perp$, $V = V^E + V^{E^\perp}$, to define a tensor $s^E : TM \times_M E \to E^\perp$ and a linear connection ∇^E for E by:

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla^E_X Y + s^E(X, Y)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(E)$. s^E is called the *generalized second fundamental form* of E and above equation is referred to as the *Gauss equation*. One can also check that ∇^E is metric compatible, i.e. $X \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla^E_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla^E_X Z \rangle$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $Y, Z \in \Gamma(E)$.

For the remainder of the discussion we set $s^E := s^E|_{(E \times_M E)}$. For $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$, we can further decompose $s^E(X, Y)$ into its anti-symmetric part and symmetric part

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^E(X,Y) = (\nabla_X Y)^{E^\perp} &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X)^{E^\perp} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X)^{E^\perp} \\
&= h^E(X,Y) + A^E(X,Y) \\
A^E(X,Y) &:= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X)^{E^\perp} \\
h^E(X,Y) &:= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X)^{E^\perp}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since ∇ is torsion-free, $A^E(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}([X,Y])^{E^\perp}$, hence E is integrable iff $A^E \equiv 0$. h^E is called the *second fundamental form* of E and it is the second fundamental form of the leaves of the foliation induced by E when E is integrable [O'N83, P. 100]. The second fundamental form can be decomposed in terms of its trace to get a further classification of E as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^E(X,Y) &= \langle X,Y\rangle\, H_E + h^E_T(X,Y) \\
H_E &= \frac{1}{m}\operatorname{tr}(h^E)
\end{aligned}
$$

where h^E_T is trace-less. H_E is called the *mean curvature normal* of E. E is called *minimal*, *umbilical* or *geodesic*[2] if $s^E(X,Y) = h^E_T(X,Y)$, $s^E(X,Y) = \langle X,Y\rangle\, H_E$ or $s^E(X,Y) = 0$ respectively for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$. We add the qualifier "almost" to the three definitions above by replacing s^E with h^E; this just drops the requirement that $A^E \equiv 0$. For example E is *almost umbilical* iff $h^E_T = 0$. We remark that when E is one dimensional $h^E_T = 0$ trivially, hence all one dimensional non-degenerate foliations and similarly all one dimensional pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds are trivially umbilical. If E is umbilical and $\nabla^{E^\perp}_X H_E = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(E)$ then E is called *spherical*. Finally if E is spherical and $E^\perp$ is geodesic then E is called *Killing*; we will see later that Killing distributions can be thought of as multidimensional generalizations of Killing vectors.

2.2 Twisted and Warped Products

The content of this section is primarily from [MRS99] where the notion of a twisted product was introduced. For more on warped products see [MRS99; Zeg11]. The following general definition of a twisted product is useful in the study of conformal Killing tensors.

Definition 2.3 (Twisted and Warped Products)
Let $M = \prod_{i=0}^k M_i$ be a product of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (M_i, g_i) where $\dim M_i > 0$ for $i > 0$. Suppose for $i = 0, ..., k$, $\pi_i : M \to M_i$ is the projection

[2] Note that some authors use the name auto-parallel instead [MRS99].

map and $\rho_i : M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a function. The following metric g on M is called a *twisted product metric*

$$g(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \rho_i^2 g_i(\pi_{i*}X, \pi_{i*}Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

In this case (M, g) is called a *twisted product* and is denoted by ${}^{\rho}\prod_{i=0}^{k} M_i$ where $\rho = (\rho_0, ..., \rho_k)$. Furthermore the ρ_i are called twist functions of the twisted product. If each ρ_i depends only on M_0 and $\rho_0 \equiv 1$ then g is called a *warped product metric* and (M, g) is called a *warped product*. The warped product is denoted by $M_0 \times_{\rho_1} M_1 \times \cdots \times_{\rho_k} M_k$. M_0 is called the geodesic factor of the warped product and the M_i for $i > 0$ are called spherical factors. □

Example 2.4
By taking M_0 to be a point and $k = 1$ in the definition of a twisted product, we get a *conformal product*. □

Example 2.5
By taking M_0 to be a point and $k > 1$ in the definition of a warped product, we get a *pseudo-Riemannian product*. Throughout this article we will treat pseudo-Riemannian products as special cases of warped products this way. □

Example 2.6
If $\dim M_i = 1$ for each i, then the twisted product metric is locally the metric of an *orthogonal coordinate system*. □

Example 2.7 (Prototypical warped product)
The prototypical example of a warped product is the following warped product defined in (an open subset of) $\mathbb{E}^n$, which is the product manifold $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^{n-1}$ equipped with the metric $g = \mathrm{d}\rho^2 + \rho^2\tilde{g}$ where $\tilde{g}$ is the metric of the $(n-1)$-sphere S^{n-1}. □

Note that a twist function ρ_i of a twisted product is only uniquely defined modulo products of functions $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}(M_i)$. To elaborate, from the above definition one sees that we can multiply ρ_i^2 by $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}(M_i)$ if we divide g_i by f. The geometry of the twisted product is not altered by such transformations as we will see. We say that the twist functions are *normalized* (with respect to a point $\bar{p} \in M$), if for each i, $\rho_i(p) = 1$ for all $p \in L_i(\bar{p})$. The following properties of the twisted product can be found in Proposition 2 in [MRS99].

Proposition 2.8 (Properties of the Twisted Product [MRS99])
Let ${}^{\rho}\prod_{i=0}^{k} M_i$ be a twisted product with product net $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=0}^{k}$ and $U_i := -\nabla \log \rho_i$.

1. *$\mathcal{E}$ is an orthogonally integrable net.*
2. *For each i the distribution E_i is umbilical with mean curvature normal $H_i = U_i^{\perp_i}$.*
3. *E_i is geodesic iff ρ_i is independent of M_j for $j \neq i$. $E_i^{\perp}$ is geodesic iff ρ_j is independent of M_i for $j \neq i$.*

4. *If ρ is independent of M_i then E_i is Killing. The converse is also true if the twisted product is normalized.* □

The following notions of twisted and warped product nets will be useful for studying conformal Killing tensors. It was originally Definition 3 in [MRS99].

Definition 2.9 (Twisted and warped product nets)
Let M be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and suppose $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=0}^k$ is an orthogonal net.

1. $\mathcal{E}$ is called a *twisted product net (TP-net)* if it is integrable and each distribution E_i is umbilical.

2. $\mathcal{E}$ is called a *warped product net (WP-net)* if E_i is Killing for $i = 1, ..., k$. □

Remark 2.10
In all applications, $\dim E_i > 0$ for $i > 0$. Although we will allow $\dim E_0 = 0$ for a WP-net since this gives us a *pseudo-Riemannian product net (RP-net).* □

It can be shown that if $\mathcal{E}$ is a WP-net, then it is a TP-net with $E_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} E_i^{\perp}$ a geodesic distribution [MRS99, Proposition 3]. Also in the case $\mathcal{E}$ is a WP-net we refer to E_0 as the geodesic distribution of the WP-net and the E_i for $i > 0$ as the Killing distributions of the WP-net. The following theorem gives the motivation for the above definition, it shows that every TP-net (resp. WP-net) admits a locally adapted twisted product (resp. warped product). See Corollary 1 in [MRS99] for a proof.

Theorem 2.11 (Twisted and warped product nets [MRS99])
Let M be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and suppose $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=0}^k$ is a TP-net (resp. WP-net). Then for every $p \in M$ there exists an open set $U \subseteq M$ containing p and a map $f : \prod_{i=0}^k M_i \to U$ which is an isometry with respect to a twisted (resp. warped) product metric on $\prod_{i=0}^k M_i$. □

Remark 2.12
One can also check that a similar theorem holds for a RP-net and a pseudo-Riemannian product metric. □

Now we can give some justification to the name "Killing" for a non-degenerate distribution which is spherical and has a geodesic orthogonal complement. By the above corollary, we see that a one dimensional Killing distribution is always spanned by a Killing vector field. Conversely any normal non-null Killing vector field spans a Killing distribution. The following can be said about multidimensional Killing distributions via the warped products they induce [Zeg11]:

Proposition 2.13 (Lifting isometries from Killing distributions)
Let $M = B \times_\rho F$ be a warped product and suppose $\tilde{f} : F \to F$ is an isometry of F. Then the lift f defined by

$$f(x, y) := (x, \tilde{f}(y)), \quad (x, y) \in B \times F$$

is an isometry of M. □

2.3 Conformal Killing tensors

A tensor $K \in S^p(M)$ is said to be a *conformal Killing tensor (CKT)* of valence p if there exists $C \in S^{p-1}(M)$ (called the *conformal factor*) such that

$$[K, G] = -2C \odot G$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the *Schouten bracket*[3] [Woo75; Nij55] which generalizes the usual Lie bracket of vector fields. When $C = 0$, K is called a *Killing tensor (KT)* of valence p and additionally if $p = 1$ then K is a *Killing vector (KV)* and the above equation reduces to $\mathcal{L}_K G = 0$. In terms of the Levi-Civita connection ∇, for a Killing tensor, the above equation becomes in coordinates:

$$\nabla_{(i} K_{i_1 \dots i_p)} = 0$$

which implies that $K_{i_1 \dots i_p} \dot{x}^{i_1} \dots \dot{x}^{i_p}$ is constant along geodesics where $(x^i(t))$ are parametrized geodesics in local coordinates.

We now enumerate special classes of conformal Killing tensors that are of interest. If $K \in S^2(M)$ is a Killing tensor, we say it is a *characteristic Killing tensor (ChKT)* if it has simple eigenfunctions and its eigenspaces are orthogonally integrable. Due to Theorem 2.2, the condition that the eigenspaces are orthogonally integrable is equivalent to the condition that K has normal eigenvector fields[4]. If K is a CKT with conformal factor $C = \nabla f$ for some $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, we say K is a CKT of *gradient-type*. In particular if $f = \mathrm{tr}(K)$, then we say K is of *trace-type*.

2.4 Orthogonal Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

Suppose M is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and denote by T^*M the cotangent bundle of M. Suppose (q, p) are canonical coordinates on T^*M and let $V \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Then the (natural) *Hamiltonian* H is defined by:

$$H(q, p) := \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle + V(q)$$

[3] Note that the Schouten bracket for symmetric tensors is directly related to the Poisson bracket on the cotangent bundle [Woo75; Nij55].

[4] A normal vector field is a non-zero vector field whose orthogonal distribution is Frobenius integrable.

The *geodesic Hamiltonian* is obtained by setting $V \equiv 0$ in the above equation.

Separable coordinates (q^i) on M are characterized as solutions of the Levi-Civita equations [LC04] (see [Kal86, P. 13] for English readers). By analyzing these equations, one can show that a given natural Hamiltonian is separable in given coordinates only if the geodesic Hamiltonian is separable in those coordinates [Ben97]. One can also show that if a given orthogonal coordinate system,(q^i), is separable, then any coordinates adapted to the orthogonal web formed by (q^i) are also separable[5]. Hence orthogonal separation depends only on the existence of a special orthogonal web, hereafter called an (orthogonal) *separable web*. By further analysis of the Levi-Civita equations, one can characterize orthogonal separation of geodesic Hamiltonians in terms of ChKTs:

Theorem 2.14 (Orthogonal Separation of Geodesic Hamiltonians [Ben97])
The geodesic Hamiltonian is separable in an orthogonal web $\mathcal{E}$ iff there exists a ChKT whose eigenspaces form $\mathcal{E}$. □

Hence the problem of classifying separable coordinates for a geodesic Hamiltonian is equivalent to the problem of classifying ChKTs. Before presenting results on the separation of natural Hamiltonians, we need a further definition. By analyzing the equations satisfied by a ChKT in adapted coordinates, one can show that there is an n-dimensional vector space of KTs simultaneously diagonalized in the adapted coordinates. This vector space of KTs is called the *KS-space* associated with an orthogonal separable web.

The following theorem addresses the separation of natural Hamiltonians:

Theorem 2.15 (Benenti's Theorem [Ben97])
A natural Hamiltonian with potential V is separable in a web $\mathcal{E}$ iff there exists a ChKT K whose eigenspaces form $\mathcal{E}$ which satisfies the dKdV equation*:*

$$d(K\,dV) = 0$$

Furthermore if V separates in the separable web $\mathcal{E}$, then all K in the KS-space associated with $\mathcal{E}$ satisfy the dKdV equation with V. □

3 Orthogonal conformal Killing tensors

For now, by a (conformal) Killing tensor we will mean an orthogonal (conformal) Killing tensor. In this section we fist present a formulation of the (conformal) Killing equation in terms of the eigenspaces of a (conformal) Killing tensor given in [CFS06]. This formulation will be the most useful in our study. We then use the theory of twisted and warped products given in [MRS99] to show that an orthogonal (conformal) Killing tensor naturally induces a twisted product and then derive the well known (conformal) Killing equation in the eigenframe. We then give necessary and sufficient conditions on

[5] We should mention that our definition of an orthogonal web is dual to the one used in [Ben97], which defines an orthogonal web as n pair-wise orthogonal co-dimension one non-degenerate foliations.

an eigenfunction of the tensor for the associated eigenspace to be geodesic or Killing. Finally we end with a well known result on restricting CKTs to special submanifolds which will be used later.

The following theorem can be deduced from Theorem 2 in [CFS06] which only covered traceless orthogonal CKTs. Before we state it, for $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we let $\{x, y\} := \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_x y + \nabla_y x)$. Also, given a collection of distributions $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ satisfying $TM = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E_i$, then for any vector $x \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have the orthogonal splitting $x = \sum_i x^i$ where each $x^i \in \Gamma(E_i)$.

Theorem 3.1 (Geometric Characterization of Orthogonal CKTs [CFS06])
Let T be an orthogonal tensor and let E_i be the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenfunctions λ_i. Then T is a conformal Killing tensor with conformal factor t iff

1. *The eigenspaces E_i are almost umbilical.*
2. *The mean curvature normal H_i of the eigenspace E_i satisfies the following equation:*

$$H_i = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\neq i}(\nabla \log|\lambda_i - \lambda_j|)^j$$

3. *The conformal factor satisfies the following equation:*

$$t = \sum(\nabla\lambda_i)^i$$

4. *$T(\{x, y\}, z) + T(\{z, x\}, y) + T(\{y, z\}, x) = 0$ for eigenvectors x, y, z with different eigenfunctions* □

Remark 3.2
For a Killing tensor the second condition can be simplified to:

$$H_i = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}(\nabla\lambda_i)^j$$ □

We will now proceed to show that when the eigenspaces are orthogonally integrable, Condition 4 of the above theorem is automatically satisfied. The following lemma can be deduced from a knowledge of rotation coefficients, although we state it for completeness.

Lemma 3.3
Suppose $(E_i)_{i=0}^k$ is an integrable net. Then for $x \in \Gamma(E_i)$ and $y \in \Gamma(E_j)$ with $j \neq i$, $\nabla_x y \in \Gamma(E_i \ominus E_j)$. □

PROOF Suppose $z \in \Gamma(E_k)$ where k is different from i, j. Observe that

$$g(\nabla_x y, z) - g(\nabla_y x, z) = g([x, y], z) = 0$$

Also

$$g(\nabla_y x, z) + g(x, \nabla_y z) = \nabla_y g(x, z) = 0$$

The above two equations hold for all permutations of x, y, z. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_x y, z) = g(\nabla_y x, z) = -g(x, \nabla_y z) = -g(x, \nabla_z y) \\ = g(\nabla_z x, y) = g(\nabla_x z, y) = -g(z, \nabla_x y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g(\nabla_x y, z) = 0$. ∎

The following corollary gives a version of the above theorem for orthogonal tensors with orthogonally integrable eigenspaces.

Corollary 3.4
Suppose T is an orthogonal tensor with orthogonally integrable eigenspaces and let E_i be the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenfunctions λ_i. Then T is a conformal Killing tensor with conformal factor t iff

1. *The eigenspaces E_i are umbilical.*
2. *The mean curvature normals of the eigenspaces satisfy the following equation:*

$$H_i = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} (\nabla \log |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|)^j \tag{3.5}$$

3. *The conformal factor satisfies the following equation:*

$$t = \sum (\nabla \lambda_i)^i \tag{3.6}$$

PROOF Since $A^{E_i} = 0$ for each i, the eigenspaces are almost umbilical iff they are umbilical. Condition 4 of Theorem 3.1 is automatically satisfied due to Lemma 3.3, hence the result holds by Theorem 3.1. ∎

Now we use a result from [MRS99] which characterizes twisted products to show that orthogonally integrable CKTs naturally give rise to a twisted product structure.

Corollary 3.5 (Conformal Killing tensors induce twisted product nets) *Suppose T is an orthogonal tensor with orthogonally integrable eigenspaces $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ and associated eigenfunctions $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k$. Let $M = \prod_{i=1}^k M_i$ be a connected product manifold locally adapted to the eigenspaces of T. Then T is a CKT iff (M, g) is a twisted product with twist functions ρ_i satisfying the following equation:*

$$(\nabla \log \rho_i)^{\perp i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} (\nabla \log |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|)^j \tag{3.7}$$

Proof This result follows from the above corollary together with Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 2.8 (2). ∎

The above corollary contains new information mainly when at least one of the eigenspaces has dimension greater than 1. Indeed when the eigenfunctions of T in the above corollary are simple and T is a KT, then Eisenhart has solved the defining equations in [Eis34]. He has shown that the metric is in Stäckel form and has given the relationship of the KT to the metric via the Stäckel matrix [Eis34]. Although knowing that all separable metrics are in Stäckel form is of little use for finding the ChKTs defined on a given pseudo-Riemannian manifold. The progress made by Eisenhart in [Eis34] and then Kalnins and Miller in [KM86] on obtaining orthogonal separable coordinates in S^n and $\mathbb{E}^n$ are based on the integrability conditions of Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) when T is a ChKT.

The above corollary motivates us to define a *Killing net* (K-net) and a *Conformal Killing net* (CK-net) as the TP-net formed by the eigenspaces of a Killing tensor respectively Conformal Killing tensor when the eigenspaces are orthogonally integrable. The following proposition shows that CK-nets are a special class of TP-nets. In particular, it will give us a simple way to check when an eigenspace of a CKT is Killing.

Proposition 3.6
Suppose $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ is an orthogonally integrable CK-net and let λ_i be the associated eigenfunctions. If $E_i^\perp$ is geodesic, then E_i is spherical. ♢

Proof Suppose $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ and $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_j)$ where $j \neq i$. Then by Eq. (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} x \langle H_i, y \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} x \langle \nabla \log |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|, y \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} xy \log |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} yx \log |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} y \langle \nabla \log |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|, x \rangle \\ &= y \langle H_j, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $E_i^\perp$ is geodesic, one can show that $H_j^i = 0$ for $j \neq i$. This can be seen for example, by working in a local twisted product given by Corollary 3.5 and then using Proposition 2.8 (3). Hence by the above calculation, $x\langle H_i, y\rangle = y\langle H_j, x\rangle = y\left\langle H_j^i, x\right\rangle = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla_x H_i, y\rangle &= x\langle H_i, y\rangle - \langle H_i, \nabla_x y\rangle \\ &= -\langle H_i, \nabla_y x\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_y H_i, x\rangle - y\langle H_i, x\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_y H_i, x\rangle \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows since $E_i^\perp$ is geodesic. Hence $\langle \nabla_x H_i, y\rangle = 0$ for all $x \in \Gamma(E_i)$ and $y \in \Gamma(E_i^\perp)$, thus E_i is spherical. ■

The following corollary allows us to determine the geometry of the eigenspaces of a CKT with orthogonally integrable eigenspaces using its eigenfunctions.

Corollary 3.7
Suppose T is a CKT with conformal factor t and orthogonally integrable eigenspaces $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$.

1. *E_i is Killing iff*

$$(\nabla\lambda_j)^i = t^i \quad \text{for all } j \neq i$$

2. *E_i is geodesic iff*

$$(\nabla\lambda_i)^j = t^j \quad \text{for all } j \neq i$$

In particular for a KT, E_i is Killing iff all the eigenfunctions are independent of E_i and E_i is geodesic iff λ_i is a constant. ♢

Proof This follows from the above proposition together with Corollary 3.4 and the definitions of Killing and geodesic distributions. ■

From the above corollary, it follows immediately that if M admits a KT with orthogonally integrable eigenspaces $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=0}^k$ and respective eigenfunctions $(\lambda_i)_{i=0}^k$ such that λ_0 is constant and λ_i depends only on E_0 for each $i > 0$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is a WP-net. One can easily use Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 to show conversely that any WP-net admits a KT. Although in the next section this fact will follow as a corollary of another proposition we will prove.

If D is a distribution then we denote by $S^p(D)$ the set of symmetric contravariant tensors of valence p over the vector bundle D. The following proposition on restriction of CKTs to submanifolds will be of use later on.

Proposition 3.8 (Restriction of CKTs to Invariant Submanifolds)
Let T be a CKT with conformal factor t and suppose D is an integrable non-degenerate T-invariant distribution. If $\tilde{M}$ is an integral manifold of D regarded as a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with the induced metric, then T restricts to a CKT on $\tilde{M}$ with the induced conformal factor. □

Proof By hypothesis $TM = D \oplus D^{\perp}$, hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} T &= T_D + T_{D^{\perp}} \\ t &= t_D + t_{D^{\perp}} \\ G &= G_D + G_{D^{\perp}} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\iota : \tilde{M} \to M$ be the inclusion map, then note that $T_D = \iota_* \tilde{T}$ for some $\tilde{T} \in S^2(\tilde{M})$. Similar equations hold for t_D and G_D. Thus we observe that the following equation holds over $\tilde{M}$, $[T_D, G_D] = [\iota_* \tilde{T}, \iota_* \tilde{G}] = \iota_* [\tilde{T}, \tilde{G}]$ by naturality of the Schouten bracket. In particular, we see that $[T_D, G_D] \in S^2(D)$. Now

$$[T, G] = [T_D, G_D] + [T_D, G_{D^{\perp}}] + [T_{D^{\perp}}, G_D] + [T_{D^{\perp}}, G_{D^{\perp}}]$$

also

$$t \odot G = t_D \odot G_D + t_D \odot G_{D^{\perp}} + t_{D^{\perp}} \odot G_D + t_{D^{\perp}} \odot G_{D^{\perp}}$$

By projecting onto $S^2(D)$ we find that $[T_D, G_D] = -2t_D \odot G_D$, thus $[\tilde{T}, \tilde{G}] = -2\tilde{t} \odot \tilde{G}$ by injectivity of ι_*. ■

Remark 3.9
The above result shows that any ChKT K induces a ChKT on any leaf of the foliation of a K-invariant distribution. Hence by Theorem 2.14, this gives a method to construct lower dimensional separable webs from a given separable web. Conversely it motivates us to look for methods to build separable webs of higher dimension from given separable webs. We will see later on that warped products give us a means to do just this. □

4 Killing tensors in Warped Products

In this section we give conditions under which $K \in S^2(M)$ that admits a K-invariant Killing distribution is a KT. The first application of this result is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for extending Killing tensors defined on the geodesic and spherical factors of a warped product. This result also allows us to study KS-spaces which have a common invariant Killing distribution. We will see that such KS-spaces can be decoupled into ones on the geodesic and spherical factors of an induced warped product. Such decomposable KS-spaces will allow us to define a *reducible separable web* which is a natural concept that follows from this investigation.

4.1 Preliminaries

But first we need some properties of the Schouten bracket.

Lemma 4.1
Suppose M is a manifold with local coordinates (x^i) and let $X_i := \partial_i$. Then for $K, G \in S^2(M)$ the Schouten bracket is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned}[K,G] &= (2K^{il}(dG^{jk})_l - 2G^{il}(dK^{jk})_l)X_i \odot X_j \odot X_k \\ &= 2(K\,dG^{jk} - G dK^{jk}) \odot X_j \odot X_k\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Furthermore, the following hold:
For $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $K \in S^p(M)$

$$[V,K] = \mathcal{L}_V K$$

For $G \in S^2(M)$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$

$$[G,f] = 2G(df)$$

□

Proof For the proof of the first statement, see for example [Woo75]. The second statement is well known, see also for example [Woo75]. The third can also be deduced from [Woo75], but we give the proof here. First note that $G^{ij}X_i \otimes X_j = G^{ij}X_i \odot X_j$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}[G,f] &= [G^{ij}X_i \odot X_j, f] \\ &= G^{ij}X_i \odot [X_j,f] + [G^{ij}X_i, f] \odot X_j \\ &= G^{ij}X_i \odot [X_j,f] + G^{ij}[X_i,f] \odot X_j \\ &= 2G^{ij}[X_i,f]X_j \\ &= 2G(\mathrm{d}f)\end{aligned}$$

■

The following lemma won't be directly used but it's useful to keep it in mind for proofs to come.

Lemma 4.2 (Schouten bracket on Product Manifolds)
Let $M = B \times F$ be a product manifold and suppose $K \in \hat{S}^p(B)$, $G \in \hat{S}^q(F)$. Then the following holds:

$$[K,G] = 0$$

□

Proof This follows from the naturality of the Schouten bracket, i.e. the proof is similar to that when K and G are vector fields. ■

4.2 Killing tensors in Warped Products

In the follow proposition we will characterize KTs in warped products.

Proposition 4.3 (Killing tensors in Warped Products)
Suppose $K \in S^2(M)$ and D is a K-invariant Killing distribution. Let $B \times_\rho F$ be a local warped product adapted to the WP-net $(D^\perp, D)$ with contravariant metric $G = G_0 + \kappa G_1$ where $\kappa := \rho^{-2}$.

Then K is a KT iff there exist KTs $K' \in S^2(B)$, $\tilde{K} \in S^2(F)$ and $t \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ such that the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} K &= K' + tG_1 + \tilde{K} \\ dt &= K' d\kappa \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore $\tilde{K}$ is also a KT on $B \times_\rho F$. ♢

Proof By hypothesis, we can write $K = K_0 + K_1$ where $K_0 \in S^2(D^\perp)$ and $K_1 \in S^2(D)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [K, G] &= [K_0 + K_1, G_0 + \kappa G_1] \\ &= [K_0, G_0] + [K_0, \kappa G_1] + [K_1, G_0] + [K_1, \kappa G_1] \\ &= [K_0, G_0] + \kappa[K_0, G_1] + 2K_0(\mathrm{d}\kappa) \odot G_1 + [K_1, G_0] + \kappa[K_1, G_1] \end{aligned}$$

Note that $[K_0, G_0] \in S^3(D^\perp)$ (see Eq. (4.1)), then by linear independence, $[K, G] = 0$ iff

$$[K_0, G_0] = 0 \tag{4.5}$$
$$[K_1, G_1] = 0$$
$$\kappa[K_0, G_1] + 2K_0(\mathrm{d}\kappa) \odot G_1 + [K_1, G_0] = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

Suppose $(x^i) = (x^a, x^\alpha)$ are local coordinates adapted to the warped product $B \times_\rho F$. We denote coordinates for B using Latin letters such as a, b, coordinates for F using Greek letters such as α, β and the letters i, j, k are reserved for generic indices. Let $X_i := \partial_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [K_1, G_0] &= 2(K_1 \mathrm{d}G_0^{jk} - G_0 \mathrm{d}K_1^{jk}) \odot X_j \odot X_k \\ &= -2G_0 \mathrm{d}K_1^{\alpha\beta} \odot X_\alpha \odot X_\beta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [K_0, G_1] &= 2(K_0 \mathrm{d}G_1^{jk} - G_1 \mathrm{d}K_0^{jk}) \odot X_j \odot X_k \\ &= -2G_1 \mathrm{d}K_0^{ab} \odot X_a \odot X_b \end{aligned}$$

Thus by linear independence, Eq. (4.6) is satisfied iff

$$\begin{aligned}[K_0, G_1] &= 0\\ 2K_0(\mathrm{d}\kappa) \odot G_1 + [K_1, G_0] &= 0\end{aligned}$$

The first of the above equations are satisfied iff $G_1 \mathrm{d}K_0^{ab} = 0$, i.e. $K_0 \in \hat{S}^2(B)$. The second becomes

$$2K_0(\mathrm{d}\kappa) \odot G_1 + [K_1, G_0] = 2(K_0(\mathrm{d}\kappa)G_1^{\alpha\beta} - G_0 \mathrm{d}K_1^{\alpha\beta}) \odot X_\alpha \odot X_\beta$$

which is identically zero iff

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}^0 K_1^{\alpha\beta} &= K_0(\mathrm{d}\kappa)G_1^{\alpha\beta}\\ \Rightarrow \mathrm{d}^0(K_0(\mathrm{d}\kappa)) &= 0 \quad \text{by non-degeneracy of } G_1\end{aligned}$$

where d^0 is d followed by the (point-wise) orthogonal projection onto $(D^\perp)^*$. So, $K_0(\mathrm{d}\kappa) = \mathrm{d}^0 t$ for some $t \in \mathcal{F}(B)$, thus

$$\mathrm{d}^0 K_1^{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{d}^0(tG_1^{\alpha\beta})$$

Hence $\tilde{K}^{\alpha\beta} := K_1^{\alpha\beta} - tG_1^{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{F}(F)$, i.e. $\tilde{K} \in \hat{S}^2(F)$. Equation (4.6) is satisfied iff $\tilde{K}$ is a KT on F and Eq. (4.5) is satisfied iff K_0 is a KT on B. Finally if we let $K' := K_0$, the result follows. The last statement that $\tilde{K}$ is a KT on $B \times_\rho F$ can be readily verified from the above equations. ∎

Two important special cases of the above proposition are the following:

1. By taking $K', t = 0$, we see that $\tilde{K} \in \hat{S}^2(F)$ is a KT on F iff it is a KT on $B \times_\rho F$.
2. By taking $\tilde{K} = 0$ we find that a necessary and sufficient condition for $K' \in S^2(B)$ to be lifted into a KT on $B \times_\rho F$ is that

$$\mathrm{d}(K'\mathrm{d}\kappa) = 0$$

The second case reveals a connection between extending KTs into warped products and the separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for natural Hamiltonians. Indeed, suppose $V \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is the potential function of a natural Hamiltonian and let $K \in S^2(M)$ be a ChKT. Now consider the local warped product $M \times_\rho \mathbb{E}^1_\nu$ where ρ, ν are defined as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} := 2\,|V| \qquad\qquad \nu := \operatorname{sgn} V$$

in a neighborhood of a point where V is non-zero. This warped product metric is called an *Eisenhart metric*, since Eisenhart showed that geodesics $x^i(t)$ in this warped product with $\dot{x}^{n+1} = 1$ project onto solutions of Hamilton's Equations for the natural Hamiltonian associated with V [Eis28]. Now observe that the condition for extending K into a KT on the warped product $M \times_\rho \mathbb{E}^1_\nu$ given above is precisely the condition that determines weather or not V is separable in the orthogonal web associated with K by Theorem 2.15. This connection was observed by Benenti [Ben97] when he proved Theorem 2.15. We will use this connection later on to derive necessary and sufficient conditions for extending a KS-space from the geodesic factor of a warped product.

We can also prove the following corollary cf. [Jel00], which shows that a WP-net is a K-net.

Corollary 4.4 (WP-nets always admit KTs)
A pseudo-Riemannian manifold M admits a WP-net $\mathcal{E} = (E_i)_{i=0}^k$ iff there exists a KT, K on M whose eigen-net is $\mathcal{E}$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions λ_i satisfy:

1. *λ_0 is a constant*
2. *λ_i depends only on E_0 for each $i > 0$*

Furthermore if such a KT exists, then the warping functions can locally be chosen to satisfy the following equation $\rho_i^2 = |\lambda_i - \lambda_0|$ for $i > 0$. □

Proof If M admits a KT with orthogonally integrable eigenspaces and eigenfunctions satisfying the above conditions, then it follows from Corollary 3.7 that its eigenspaces form a WP-net.

Conversely suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is a WP-net, and suppose $G = G_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \kappa_i G_i$ is an adapted warped product metric. The above proposition shows that each G_i for $i > 0$ is a KT on M. Hence for each i if we choose $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then $K := c_0 G + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i G_i$ is a KT on M. Thus, locally we can always choose the c_i such that K is a KT with eigenspaces equal to $\mathcal{E}$ and clearly the eigenfunctions satisfy the above conditions.

Now if such a KT exists, by Eq. (3.7) in Corollary 3.5, we have for $i > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla \log \rho_i^2)^{\perp i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} (\nabla \log |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|)^j \\ &= \nabla \log |\lambda_i - \lambda_0|\end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that locally we can choose the warping functions as stated. ■

The following corollary follows immediately by inductively applying Proposition 4.3.

Corollary 4.5
Suppose $\mathcal{E} = (D_i)_{i=0}^k$ is a WP-net and K is KT with D_i a K-invariant distribution for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Let $M = M_0 \times_\rho \prod_{i=1}^k M_i$ be a local warped product adapted to $\mathcal{E}$. Then in contravariant form, K can be decomposed as follows:

$$K = K_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k K_i$$

where each $K_i \in \hat{S}^2(M_i)$ is a KT for $i = 1, .., k$. Furthermore K_0 is a KT and each D_i is an eigenspace of K_0 for $i = 1, .., k$ cf. Corollary 3.7. ♢

There are many examples of warped product metrics in relativity where these results could be applied. We will give two such examples in this article, here is the first:

Example 4.6 (Separation in FRW metrics)
An FRW metric is the product manifold $M = \mathbb{E}^1_1 \times_\rho F$ equipped with warped product metric $g = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + \rho^2 \tilde{g}$ where $\tilde{g}$ is a Riemannian metric (assumed to be of constant curvature which is not necessary here).

The distribution orthogonal to $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}$, is Killing. Then by Proposition 4.3, note that the metric on $\mathbb{E}^1_1$ can be lifted to a KT on M. Hence it follows by Proposition 4.3 (at least locally) that M admits a ChKT K with timelike eigenvector field $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}$ iff there exists a ChKT $\tilde{K} \in S^2(F)$. So any ChKT $\tilde{K} \in S^2(F)$ together with the time coordinate t induces a separable web on M. □

4.3 Killing-Stäckel spaces in Warped Products

The following corollary uses the connection between extending KTs into warped products and the separation of potentials observed earlier to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for extending a Killing-Stäckel space from the geodesic factor of a Warped Product.

Corollary 4.7 (Extending a Killing-Stäckel space into a Warped Product)
Suppose $M = B \times_\rho F$ is a warped product and $\mathcal{K}$ is Killing-Stäckel space in B. If there exists a ChKT $K \in \mathcal{K}$ that can be extended into a KT on M (via the method of Proposition 4.3) then all KTs in $\mathcal{K}$ can be extended into KTs on M. ♢

Proof Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is a ChKT that can be extended into a KT on M. Then from Proposition 4.3, K satisfies the dKdV equation with ρ^{-2}. Then by Benenti's theorem it follows that every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies the dKdV equation with ρ^{-2}, hence by the above proposition every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ can be extended into a KT on M. ■

The above corollary motivates the following notion of a reducible separable web, which is characterized intrinsically by the invariant distributions of an associated ChKT.

Definition 4.8 (Reducible separable web)
Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ is an orthogonal separable web locally characterized by a ChKT, K. $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be reducible if it admits a K-invariant Killing distribution. □

First note that since all KTs in the KS-space of a separable web are simultaneously diagonalized, the above definition doesn't depend on the choice of the ChKT, hence is well-defined. One can check that the above definition of a reducible separable web

is equivalent to the one given in the introduction. We will make exclusive use of the above definition in the rest of the article. The following proposition states clearly why we introduce to notion of reducible separable webs.

Proposition 4.9 (The Killing-Stäckel space of a reducible separable web) *Suppose K is a ChKT with associated KS-space $\mathcal{K}$ inducing a reducible separable web, i.e. there exists a K-invariant Killing distribution D. Let $M = B \times_\rho F$ be a local warped product adapted to the WP-net $(D^\perp, D)$ with adapted contravariant metric $G = G_B + \rho^{-2} G_F$. Then there are KS-spaces $\mathcal{K}_B$ and $\mathcal{K}_F$ on B and F respectively such that $L \in \mathcal{K}$ iff there exists $L_B \in \mathcal{K}_B$, $L_F \in \mathcal{K}_F$ and $l \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}(B)$ such that the following equations hold*

$$L = L_B + l G_F + L_F$$
$$dl = L_B \, d\rho^{-2} \qquad \diamondsuit$$

Proof By Proposition 3.8 it follows that $\mathcal{K}$ induces a KS-space $\mathcal{K}_B$ in B and a KS-space $\mathcal{K}_F$ in F. If $L \in \mathcal{K}$, then it follows from Proposition 4.3 that L is determined up to constants by KTs in $\mathcal{K}_B$ and $\mathcal{K}_F$ satisfying the above equations. Conversely from Proposition 4.3 it follows that every KT in $\mathcal{K}_F$ can be extended to a KT in $\mathcal{K}$. Furthermore it follows from Proposition 4.3 that K can be decomposed into a KT on M to satisfy the hypothesis of the above corollary. Hence from the above corollary it follows that each $L_B \in \mathcal{K}_B$ can be extended into a KT in $\mathcal{K}$ given by the above equation by taking $L_F = 0$. ■

One usually determines if an orthogonal separable web is reducible by inspecting the metric in adapted coordinates by using Proposition 2.8 (4) and keeping in mind that all KTs in the KS-space are diagonalized in adapted coordinates. We give some examples to illustrate this.

Example 4.10
The dimension of the Killing distribution is one in the above definition iff there is a Killing vector spanning one of the distributions of the web. This is sometimes called a *web symmetry* [HMS09]. □

Example 4.11
There is an abundant supply of reducible separable webs in spaces of constant curvature [Kal86]. These are a special case of KEM webs which will be introduced in Section 6.2. □

5 Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation in Warped Products

In this section we are concerned with the separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in reducible separable webs. K is assumed to be a KT with orthogonally integrable eigenspaces $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ with associated eigenfunctions $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$ and multiplicities

$m_1, ..., m_k$. We work in the local twisted product ${}^{\rho}\prod_{i=1}^{k} M_i$ adapted to the eigenspaces of K given by Corollary 3.5.

Fix $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ and $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_j)$ such that $[x, y] = 0$, then letting $\sigma_i := \log \rho_i^2$, it follows from Eq. (3.7) that the eigenfunctions satisfy

$$x\lambda_j = (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)x\sigma_j$$

and we note that the above equation holds even if $i = j$. Fixing $V \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ and using the above equation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(K\mathrm{d}V)(x, y) &= x(K(y, \nabla V)) - y(K(x, \nabla V)) \\
&= x(\lambda_j yV) - y(\lambda_i xV) \\
&= x\lambda_j yV - y\lambda_i xV + \lambda_j xyV - \lambda_i yxV \\
&= (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)x\sigma_j yV - (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)y\sigma_i xV + (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)xyV \\
&= (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)(xyV + x\sigma_j yV + y\sigma_i xV)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proven the following:

Proposition 5.1 (The dKdV Equation in the eigenframe)
Given K and V as above, $d(K\,dV) \equiv 0$ iff for each $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ and $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_j)$ with $i \neq j$ the following holds:

$$xyV + x \log \rho_j^2 yV + y \log \rho_i^2 xV = 0$$

From which we can deduce the following:

1. *If $E_i^{\perp}$ is geodesic, hence E_i is Killing (see Proposition 3.6), we have for all $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_{i\perp})$:*
$$y(\rho_i^2 xV) = 0 \tag{5.3}$$
2. *In particular, if E_i and E_j are Killing and $i \neq j$, we have for $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ and $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_j)$:*
$$xyV = 0$$ □

Proof The first equation immediately follows from the above calculations. Now for the consequences, if $E_i^{\perp}$ is geodesic, then $x(\log \rho_j^2) = 0$ for $j \neq i$ by Proposition 2.8 (3), hence

$$\begin{aligned} xyV + x\log\rho_j^2 yV + y\log\rho_i^2 xV &= xyV + y\log\rho_i^2 xV \\ &= xyV + \frac{y\rho_i^2}{\rho_i^2}xV \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_i^2}(\rho_i^2 xyV + y\rho_i^2 xV) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_i^2}y(\rho_i^2 xV) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $y(\rho_i^2 x(V)) = 0$, the second statement also follows immediately. ∎

Now, suppose E_i is Killing and that $\tilde{K}_i$ is a KT on M_i, then by Proposition 4.3, the lift K_i, is a KT on M. The following proposition will allow us to reduce the calculation of the dKdV equation with K_i on M to the restriction of the equation on M_i. To make this precise, we fix $\bar{p} \in M$ and let $L_i(\bar{p})$ be the leaf of the canonical foliation of M_i through $\bar{p}$. Furthermore let $\tau_i : M_i \to L_i(\bar{p})$ be the embedding of M_i in M.

Proposition 5.2 (Reduction of The dKdV equation on Warped Products) *Suppose K and K_i are as above, E_i is Killing and additionally assume that M is connected. For a potential $V \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, let $V_i := \tau_i^* V \in \mathcal{F}(M_i)$. Suppose $d(K\,dV) = 0$ holds on M, then the following is true:*

$$d(K_i\,dV) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d(\tilde{K}_i\,dV_i) = 0 \qquad \diamondsuit$$

Proof The first implication follows trivially by naturality of the exterior derivative, so now we prove the converse. First we note that as endomorphisms of T^*M, $K_i = \rho_i^2 \tilde{K}_i$ where $\tilde{K}_i$ is the lift of an endomorphism of T^*M_i. We also note that for $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_{i\perp})$

$$\mathcal{L}_y(\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i) = 0$$

where $(\mathrm{d}V)_i$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathrm{d}V$ onto T^*M_i. To prove this, we first note that since $\mathrm{d}(K\mathrm{d}V) = 0$, $y(\rho_i^2 xV) = 0$ for all $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ by Eq. (5.3) in Proposition 5.1. This implies that $\mathrm{d}(\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i) = 0$. Hence the above equation follows by Cartan's Formula which relates the exterior derivative of forms to their Lie derivatives.

Now by hypothesis, for $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ and $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ with $[x, y] = 0$ we have that $\tau_i^*(\mathrm{d}(K_i\mathrm{d}V)(x, y)) = 0$. Then for $z \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_{i\perp})$,

$$\begin{aligned} z\mathrm{d}(K_i\mathrm{d}V)(x,y) &= z[x(K_i(y,\mathrm{d}V)) - y(K_i(x,\mathrm{d}V))] \\ &= z[x(\tilde{K}_i(y,\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i))) - y(\tilde{K}_i(x,\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i)))] \\ &= x(\tilde{K}_i(y,\mathcal{L}_z(\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i)))) - y(\tilde{K}_i(x,\mathcal{L}_z(\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i)))) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation follows since $\mathcal{L}_z(\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i) = 0$. Thus since M is connected we conclude that $\mathrm{d}(K_i\mathrm{d}V)(x,y) = 0$ on M.

For $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_i)$ and $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_{i\perp})$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}(K_i\mathrm{d}V)(x,y) &= x(\tilde{K}_i(y,\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i)) - y(\tilde{K}_i(x,\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i))\\ &= -\tilde{K}_i(x,y(\rho_i^2(\mathrm{d}V)_i))\\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Also it easily follows that for $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_{i\perp})$ and $y \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(M_{i\perp})$, that $\mathrm{d}(K_i\mathrm{d}V)(x,y) = 0$. Thus the result is proven. ■

We now consider the problem of separation in warped products. To be precise, suppose $N = N_0 \times_\rho \prod_{i=1}^{l} N_i$ is a warped product and $\mathcal{E} = (D_i)_{i=0}^l$ is the associated WP-net. Suppose K is a ChKT such that each Killing distribution defining $\mathcal{E}$ is K-invariant. According to Benenti's Theorem (Theorem 2.15), for a potential $V \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ to be separable in the web associated with K, we need to check that the dKdV equation is satisfied. Although in this case we have some more information. Due to Corollary 4.5, K can be decomposed as follows in contravariant form:

$$K = K_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} K_i$$

where each $K_i \in \hat{S}^2(N_i)$ is a KT for $i = 1,..,l$, each D_i is an eigenspace of K_0 for $i = 1,..,l$ and K_0 restricted to D_0 is characteristic. By Benenti's Theorem, if V satisfies the dKdV equation with K, then it must satisfy the dKdV equation with each K_i. In particular it must satisfy the dKdV equation with K_0. Since K_0 invariantly encodes the warped product through its eigenspaces and a partial separable web on D_0, one could ask if the converse holds. If V satisfies the dKdV equation with a given KT K_0 with eigenspaces as just stated, is it possible to build up a separable web for V by reducing the problem to one on the spherical factors of N? The following theorem shows that we can.

Theorem 5.3 (Separation in Warped Products)
Suppose $(D_i)_{i=0}^l$ is a WP-net and K_0 is a KT with eigenspaces D_i for $i = 1,...,l$ and characteristic on D_0. Fix $\bar{p} \in M$ and let $N = \prod_{i=0}^{l} N_i$ be a connected product manifold passing through $\bar{p}$ adapted to the WP-net $(D_i)_{i=0}^l$. Then the following holds:

Suppose $V \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ satisfies $d(K_0 dV) = 0$. Let $V_i := \tau_i^* V \in \mathcal{F}(N_i)$ and suppose for each $i = 1,...,k$ there exists a ChKT $\tilde{K}_i$ on N_i such that $d(\tilde{K}_i dV_i) = 0$.

Then V is separable in the web formed by the simple eigenspaces of K_0 together with the lifts of the simple eigenspaces of $\tilde{K}_1,...,\tilde{K}_l$. ♢

PROOF For $i = 1, ..., l$, let K_i be the lift of $\tilde{K}_i$ to N. Consider the tensor

$$K := K_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} K_i$$

By Proposition 4.3, K is a Killing tensor on N. Let $\tilde{G}_i$ be the contravariant metric on N_i, then by replacing $\tilde{K}_i$ with $a_i\tilde{K}_i + b_i\tilde{G}_i$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, we can assume K locally has simple eigenfunctions. Let q_0 be coordinates which diagonalize the ChKT induced by K_0 on N_0. Let q_j be coordinates which diagonalize $\tilde{K}_j$ on N_j for each $j > 0$. Then one can check that the product coordinates $(q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ are orthogonal and diagonalize K, hence K is a ChKT. By Proposition 5.2, $\mathrm{d}(K_i \mathrm{d}V) = 0$ on N for each $i > 0$, hence K satisfies the dKdV equation with V. Thus it follows by Theorem 2.15 that V separates in the product coordinates $(q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_l)$, which proves the claim. ∎

The above theorem and the preceding discussion shows that reducible separable webs enable one to reduce the problem of separation to certain spherical submanifolds after one finds a KT with the same eigenspaces as K_0 in the above theorem. In the following section, we shall see that in special cases, orthogonal concircular tensors generate such a KT. In fact in the following section, we will use orthogonal concircular tensors and the above theorem to develop a general algorithm for separating a potential.

6 Main Application: Concircular tensors and The BEKM Separation Algorithm

In this section we apply the theory developed in this article to concircular tensors. We first review the theory of concircular tensors and then present the key observation that a multidimensional eigenspace of concircular tensor is necessarily Killing. Then we introduce the notion of KEM webs and prove their relation to separable webs in spaces of constant curvature. We conclude by introducing the BEKM separation algorithm which can determine separability of natural Hamiltonians in KEM webs.

6.1 Concircular tensors

Recall that $L \in S^2(M)$ is called a CT if it satisfies the following equation

$$\nabla_x L = \alpha \odot x$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and some vector field α.

An *orthogonal concircular tensor (OCT)* or more succinctly an OC-tensor is a concircular tensor which is also an orthogonal tensor. OC-tensors with simple eigenfunctions were studied extensively by Benenti, see [Ben92a; Ben04; Ben05]; thus in recognition of his contributions we refer to this special class of OC-tensors as *Benenti tensors* (also called L-tensors by Benenti).

OC-tensors have some useful properties. First, given a tensor L, let N_L be the Nijenhuis tensor (torsion) of L [GVY08]. We say that L is *torsionless* if its Nijenhuis tensor vanishes. Then if L is a concircular tensor, the following equations hold [Ben05, Lemma 3.1] (cf. [Cra03])

$$\begin{aligned}[L, G] &= -2\nabla \operatorname{tr}(L) \odot G \\ N_L &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, by Theorem 19.3 in [Ben05], an orthogonal tensor satisfying the above equations is a C-tensor. The first of the above equations tells us that a C-tensor is a conformal Killing tensor of trace-type. The second equation can be interpreted if we assume L is an OC-tensor.

Suppose L is an OC-tensor with eigenspaces $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ and corresponding eigenfunctions $\lambda^1, ..., \lambda^k$. Since an OC-tensor has Nijenhuis torsion zero, by Theorem 13.29 in [GVY08], the eigenspaces $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ are orthogonally integrable and each eigenfunction λ^i depends only on E_i, i.e.

$$(\nabla \lambda_i)^j = 0 \quad j \neq i \tag{6.2}$$

Now we present the property of OCTs that connects them with warped products. The trace-type condition implies that the conformal factor $\alpha = \nabla \operatorname{tr}(L) = \sum_i m_i \nabla \lambda_i$ where $m_i = \dim E_i$. This together with Eq. (3.6) in Corollary 3.4 gives the following:

$$m_i \nabla \lambda_i = \alpha^i = \nabla \lambda_i$$

Hence when $\dim E_i > 1$, λ_i must be constant; this property was first observed by Benenti in [Ben05, Theorem A.5.1]. Then in combination with Eq. (6.2), Corollary 3.7 implies that E_i is a Killing distribution.

In order to separate the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, we need Killing tensors. Thus we observe that the following tensor, called the *Killing Bertrand-Darboux tensor (KBDT)* generated by L, is a KT:

$$K = \operatorname{tr}(L)G - L$$

This follows by a direct calculation. An important observation is that K has the same eigenspaces as L. We also note that if L is a Benenti tensor, then its KBDT is a ChKT, hence by Theorem 2.14 it follows that the orthogonal web associated with L is separable. Later on we will use the KBDT and Theorem 5.3 to present an algorithm for separating natural Hamiltonians.

But first, we need the following characterization of orthogonal CTs to make statements about the completeness of the algorithm to be presented.

Theorem 6.1 (Characterization of orthogonal CTs)
Suppose L is a torsionless orthogonal tensor with eigenspaces $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ and associated eigenfunctions $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k$. Then L is an OCT iff there is a twisted product adapted to its eigenspaces such that each twist function ρ_i can be chosen to be:

$$\rho_i^2 = \prod_{k\neq i} |\lambda_i - \lambda_k| \tag{6.5}$$

and each multidimensional eigenspace E_i is a Killing distribution, or equivalently the eigenfunction corresponding to E_i is constant. □

Proof Since an OCT is equivalent to a trace-type torsionless orthogonal CKT [Ben05, Theorem A.5.3], we only need to characterize a trace-type torsionless orthogonal CKT.

By Corollary 3.5 there is a twisted product ${}^{\rho}\prod_{i=1}^{k} M_i$ which is adapted to the eigenspaces of L. We can explicitly solve for the twist function ρ_i in this case. From Eq. (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_i &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\neq i}(\nabla \log|\lambda_i - \lambda_j|)^j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\neq i}(\sum_{k\neq i}\nabla \log|\lambda_i - \lambda_k|)^j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\neq i}(\nabla \log\prod_{k\neq i}|\lambda_i - \lambda_k|)^j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \log\prod_{k\neq i}|\lambda_i - \lambda_k|)^{\perp i} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Eq. (3.7), we have

$$(\nabla \log \rho_i^2)^{\perp i} = (\nabla \log\prod_{k\neq i}|\lambda_i - \lambda_k|)^{\perp i}$$

Thus $\log\rho_i^2 - \log\prod_{k\neq i}|\lambda_i - \lambda_k| = f_i$ where f_i is a function of M_i only. Thus we see that a necessary and sufficient condition for L to be a torsionless orthogonal CKT is that the twist functions have the form given by Eq. (6.5).

We also note from earlier calculations that the only constraint imposed by the trace-type condition is that the multidimensional eigenspaces have constant eigenfunctions. Thus the conclusion follows by Corollary 3.5. ■

Remark 6.2
This characterization (with some-what less information) originally appeared in [Ben05].□

For illustrative purposes it will be useful to have the general concircular tensor in pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$, it is given as follows in contravariant form [Ben05, theorem B.1.1]:

$$L = A + mr \odot r + w \odot r$$

where A is symmetric and constant, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r = (x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ is the vector representing the generic point in $\mathbb{E}^n_\nu$ hereafter called the *dilatational vector field*.

We will also need the following fact concerning C-tensors, it shows that they form a vector space and it gives an upper bound on the dimension of this space.

Theorem 6.3 (The Vector Space of Concircular Tensors [TCS05])
The C-tensors form a finite dimensional real vector space when $n > 1$ with maximal dimension equal to $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$. Furthermore the maximal dimension is achieved if and only if the space has constant curvature. □

Remark 6.4
See [Cra07] and references therein for more on the possible dimensions of the vector space of C-tensors. □

6.2 KEM webs and Separable Webs in Spaces of Constant Curvature

In this section we first introduce a certain type of orthogonal web called a KEM web which follows naturally from orthogonal concircular tensors. We will show that KEM webs are necessarily separable. Then we will use the classification of separable webs in Riemannian spaces of constant curvature given in [Kal86] to show that all separable webs in these spaces are KEM webs.

Before we introduce the general notion of a KEM web, we first present the following simple motivating example:

Example 6.5 (KEM webs)
In this example we work in $\mathbb{E}^3$ with the CT $L = d \odot d$ where $d \neq 0$ is a constant vector. In this case, L has a simple eigenspace $S_1 := \text{span}\{d\}$ and a multidimensional eigenspace $D_1 := d^\perp$. Clearly a warped product manifold adapted to the WP-net (S_1, D_1) is $\mathbb{E}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^2$.

Now in $\mathbb{E}^2$ we can specify a Cartesian coordinate system via the CT $L = A$ where A is symmetric, constant and has simple eigenspaces. We can also specify polar coordinates via the CT $L = r \odot r$ where r is the dilatational vector field as in the previous section. In both cases it is well known that this defines a separable web $\mathcal{E}_1$ in $\mathbb{E}^2$.

Back in $\mathbb{E}^3$ we can define an orthogonal web, $\mathcal{E}$, formed by S_1 together with the lift of $\mathcal{E}_1$ (which is obtained by translating $\mathcal{E}_1$ along d). In the first case we obtain a web defining Cartesian coordinates and in the second case we obtain a web defining cylindrical coordinates, both of which are separable. □

We have shown two examples where an orthogonal (in fact separable) web was obtained recursively using concircular tensors. For low dimensions we define a KEM

web as follows: When $n = 1$ the tangent bundle TM itself is trivially defined to be a KEM web. When $n = 2$ any non-trivial[6] OCT has simple eigenfunctions, hence is a Benenti tensor and defines an orthogonal web. So when $n = 2$ we define a KEM web to be any orthogonal web associated with a Benenti tensor. In the general case we define recursively a KEM web as follows:

Definition 6.6 (KEM web)
Let L be a non-trivial OCT with simple eigenspaces $(S_i)_{i=1}^k$ and multidimensional eigenspaces $(D_i)_{i=1}^l$. For each $i = 1, ..., l$, let $\mathcal{E}_i$ be a KEM web on an integral manifold of D_i. Then the web formed by $(S_i)_{i=1}^k$ together with the lifts of $\mathcal{E}_i$ is called a *Kalnins-Eisenhart-Miller (KEM) web.* □

Remark 6.7
One can check that the above definition is well-defined since each D_i is necessarily integrable and the lift of $\mathcal{E}_i$ is necessarily an orthogonal web at least locally. □

Proposition 6.8 (KEM webs)
A KEM web is a separable web. ♢

Proof Suppose inductively that this theorem holds for all KEM webs with dimension $k < n$ and note that the statement trivially holds for $k = 1$ since the metric is always an OCT. Now we prove the proposition for KEM webs of dimension $n > k \geq 1$.

Let L be the OCT in the definition of the KEM web and let K be the KBDT associated with L. Let $D_1, ..., D_l$ be the multidimensional eigenspaces of L, these are necessarily Killing distributions by Theorem 6.1. Then the net formed by $D_1, ..., D_l$ together with $D_0 := \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} D_i^{\perp}$ is a WP-net. So fix $\bar{p} \in M$ and let $N = \prod_{i=0}^{l} N_i$ be a connected product manifold adapted to this net and passing through $\bar{p}$. For each $i = 1, ..., l$, let K_i be a ChKT for $\mathcal{E}_i$ on N_i which is given by Theorem 2.14. It follows from Proposition 4.3 that K_i can be extended to a KT on M (which we call K_i). After adding a constant multiple of the induced metric on N_i to K_i if necessary, we can assume that $K + \sum_{i=1}^{l} K_i$ is a ChKT at least locally. Since $K + \sum_{i=1}^{l} K_i$ is a ChKT for this KEM web, it follows from Theorem 2.14 that this KEM web is a separable web.

Thus the result follows by induction. ■

Theorem 6.9 (Separable Webs in Spaces of Constant Curvature)
In a space of constant curvature, every separable web is a KEM web. ♢

To prove the above theorem, we need some preliminary results. The following lemma is well known, see for example [Nol96].

Lemma 6.10
In a space of constant curvature, a Killing foliation is a foliation of homothetic[7] *spaces of constant curvature.* □

[6] By a non-trivial concircular tensor, we mean one which is not a multiple of the metric when $n > 1$.
[7] By homothetic pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, we mean conformal pseudo-Riemannian manifolds where the conformal factor is a positive constant.

In particular for $\mathbb{E}^n$ one can show that a Killing foliation is foliation by subsets of (affine) spheres or planes of lesser dimension. The following theorem is the key to proving the above theorem.

Theorem 6.11 (KEM Separation Theorem)
Suppose K is a ChKT defined on a space of constant curvature M. Then there is a non-trivial concircular tensor L defined on M such that each eigenspace of K is L-invariant, i.e. L is diagonalized in coordinates adapted to the eigenspaces of K. ♢

A rigorous proof will be given in [RM14a]. In Riemannian spaces of constant curvature, this theorem can be proven by connecting the classification of separable metrics given by Kalnins and Miller in [Kal86] with Theorem 6.1. Indeed, by examining the separable metrics given in [Kal86], it can be shown that all separable metrics derived in [Kal86] have the form given by Theorem 6.1. Then the desired concircular tensor, L, is given by Theorem 6.1. For a space of constant curvature with arbitrary signature, it can be shown that the classification given by Kalnins and Miller can be generalized in such a way that the separable metrics still satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 6.1. This generalization will be given in [RM14a].

Proof (Theorem 6.9) Suppose inductively that this theorem holds for all separable webs in spaces of constant curvature of dimension $k < n$. The statement trivially holds when $k = 1$. We now show that the theorem holds when $\dim M = n$.

Suppose K is a ChKT defined on a space of constant curvature M defining a separable web. Then let L be a concircular tensor guaranteed by the KEM separation theorem.

Case 1 If L has simple eigenfunctions (i.e. is a Benenti tensor), then it follows that the separable web determined by K is a KEM web.

Case 2 Suppose L has multidimensional eigenspace D_i for $i = 1, ..., l$; these must be Killing by Theorem 6.1. Thus each D_i induces a foliation of spherical submanifolds of M. Then it follows by Lemma 6.10 that this is a foliation of spaces of constant curvature of lesser dimension. Suppose N_i is an integral manifold of D_i. Then it follows from Proposition 3.8 that K restricts to a ChKT $\tilde{K}_i$ on N_i. Thus $\tilde{K}_i$ is a ChKT on a space of constant curvature N_i which has dimension less than n. Hence by induction hypothesis, it follows that the separable web $\mathcal{E}_i$ associated with $\tilde{K}_i$ is a KEM web. Thus by definition it follows that the separable web associated with K is a KEM web.

The result then follows by induction on n. ■

Motivated by Theorem 6.9, in the next subsection we will use the results presented in Section 5 to give a recursive algorithm for separating potentials.

6.3 The BEKM Separation Algorithm

In this section we will present the Benenti-Eisenhart-Kalnins-Miller (BEKM) separation algorithm, which is named after the researchers who's work anticipated this algorithm [Ben05; Eis34; KM86]. We fix a potential $V \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ and suppose $n = \dim M > 1$.

We first motivate the BEKM separation algorithm for the case when M is a space of constant curvature. According to Theorem 2.15 a necessary condition for separability of V is the existence of a ChKT K satisfying the dKdV equation with V. Now Theorem 6.11 implies that there exists a non-trivial OCT L which commutes as a linear operator with K. Hence the KBDT associated with L, say K', is in the KS-space associated with K, thus by Theorem 2.15 V must satisfy the dKdV equation with K'. This establishes the necessity of KBDTs for orthogonal separation in spaces of constant curvature. We use this fact and the theory on the separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in warped products to obtain a recursive algorithm to find separable coordinates for V.

Remark 6.12
The authors originally discovered the necessity of KBDTs for $\mathbb{E}^n$ and $\mathbb{S}^n$ implicitly through Corollary 5.4 in [WW03]. Indeed, according to the remarks following Equation 4.2 in [Ben04], the Bertrand-Darboux equations in [WW03] are the dKdV equations generated by a KBDT. Hence Corollary 5.4 in [WW03] implies the necessity of KBDTs for the special case of $\mathbb{E}^n$. Corollary 5.4 in [WW03] also implies a similar statement for $\mathbb{S}^n$. This explains the origin of the name Bertrand-Darboux in Killing-Bertrand-Darboux tensor and one of our initial reasons for working with CTs. □

Now we present the BEKM separation algorithm, so assume M is an arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Let L denote the general concircular tensor on (M, g) and $K := \operatorname{tr}(L)G - L$ be the KBDT generated by L. Now impose the condition:

$$\mathrm{d}(K\mathrm{d}V) = 0 \tag{6.7}$$

which is called the *Killing Bertrand-Darboux (KBD)* equation. The above equation defines a system of linear equations in the unspecified parameters of L. Indeed, by Theorem 6.3, the C-tensors form a finite-dimensional vector space. Since the KBDT is linearly related to L, it follows that the above equation defines a linear system. Furthermore by Theorem 6.3 the maximum number of unknowns in the above equation is $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$.

Suppose now that K is a particular solution of the KBD equation and let L be the associated C-tensor. We make the assumption that L is an orthogonal tensor (which is always satisfied on a Riemannian manifold). Let $(E_i)_{i=1}^k$ be the eigenspaces of L and $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k$ the corresponding eigenfunctions. We now classify such a solution:

Case 1 (k = 1, i.e. all the eigenfunctions coincide)
In this case $L = cG$ where $c := \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, thus the associated KBDT, $K = c(n-1)G$ is the trivial solution of Eq. (6.7) and so the algorithm yields no information.

Case 2 (the eigenfunctions are simple)
K is a characteristic Killing tensor, then by Benenti's theorem (Theorem 2.15), V is separable in the web of the eigenspaces of L.

Case 3 (at least one eigenfunction is not simple)
In this case, we enumerate the eigenspaces $D_1, ..., D_l$ with dimension greater than one. Since each D_i is Killing by Theorem 6.1, the net formed by $D_1, ..., D_l$ together with $D_0 := \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} D_i^{\perp}$ is a WP-net. So fix $\bar{p} \in M$ and let $N = \prod_{i=0}^{l} N_i$ be a connected product manifold adapted to this net and passing through $\bar{p}$.

If $D_0 \neq 0$, then K restricted to D_0 is characteristic by construction. Let $V_i := \tau_i^* V \in \mathcal{F}(N_i)$ and suppose for each $i = 1, ..., k$ there exists a ChKT $\tilde{K}_i$ on N_i such that $\mathrm{d}(\tilde{K}_i \mathrm{d}V_i) = 0$.

Then by Theorem 5.3, V is separable in the web formed by the simple eigenspaces of L together with the lifts of the simple eigenspaces of $\tilde{K}_1, ..., \tilde{K}_l$.

The algorithm can be applied recursively in the case L has a non-simple eigenfunction. In the notation of case 3 one would have to apply the algorithm to each N_i equipped with the induced metric for $i = 1, ..., l$.

Now, some remarks are in order:

Remark 6.13
In case 3 even if there are no ChKTs on the submanifolds N_i which satisfy the dKdV equation with V_i, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is partially separable. □

Remark 6.14
Since the metric is always a solution of the KBD equation and because the KBD equation is linear in K, we always consider a solution of the KBD equation modulo multiplies of the metric. □

In the following example we will show how to use the theory just presented to show that the Calogero-Moser system is separable in cylindrical coordinates. It was originally shown to be separable in these coordinates by Calogero in [Cal69].

Example 6.15 (Calogero-Moser system)
The Calogero-Moser system is a natural Hamiltonian system with configuration manifold $\mathbb{E}^3$ given by the following potential in Cartesian coordinates (q_1, q_2, q_3):

$$V = (q_1 - q_2)^{-2} + (q_2 - q_3)^{-2} + (q_1 - q_3)^{-2}$$

First note that the constant vector $d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[1, 1, 1]$ is a symmetry of V, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_d V = 0$. Hence we observe that the CT $L = d \odot d$ is a solution of the KBD equation associated with V. From Example 6.5 we know that a warped product manifold adapted to L has

the form $\mathbb{E}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^2$. One can choose Cartesian coordinates (q_1', q_2', q_3') adapted to this product manifold, such that V takes the form:

$$V = \frac{9(q_3'^2 + q_2'^2)^2}{2q_2'^2(3q_3'^2 - q_2'^2)^2}$$

In this case V naturally restricts to a potential on $\mathbb{E}^2$ with coordinates (q_2', q_3'). In $\mathbb{E}^2$ one can apply the BEKM separation algorithm to find that the only solution of the KBD equation (up to constant multiplies) is $L = r \odot r$ where r is the dilatational vector field. Hence we conclude that V is separable in cylindrical coordinates which are obtained by taking polar coordinates $(r\cos(\theta), r\sin(\theta))$ on $\mathbb{E}^2$. □

We won't give a proof here, but one can show that for the n-dimensional Calogero-Moser system the general solution to the KBD equation is

$$L = c\, d \odot d + w\, d \odot r + m\, r \odot r$$

where $c, w, m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}[1, \ldots, 1]$. One would have to apply the BEKM separation algorithm recursively when $n > 3$ in order to search for separable coordinates. In particular when $n = 3$, using the above solution one can determine that the Calogero-Moser system is separable in four additional coordinate systems. The details will appear in a subsequent article.

The following example illustrates how one can obtain ChKTs when an ignorable coordinate is present.

Example 6.16 (Separation in Static space-times)
A static space time is the product manifold $M = B \times_\rho \mathbb{E}^1_1$ equipped with warped product metric $g = \tilde{g} - \rho^2 \mathrm{d}t^2$ where $\tilde{g}$ is a Riemannian metric. By Proposition 4.9, M admits a ChKT K with timelike eigenvector field $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}$ iff there exists a ChKT $\tilde{K} \in S^2(B)$ satisfying:

$$\mathrm{d}(\tilde{K}\mathrm{d}\rho^{-2}) = 0$$

This observation is a special case of the connection between separation of potentials and extensions of KTs observed earlier via the Eisenhart metric. We note here that in order to find $\tilde{K}$, the BEKM separation algorithm can be applied on B with $V := \rho^{-2}$. In particular if B is a space of constant curvature, we will observe shortly that the BEKM separation algorithm gives a complete method for determining $\tilde{K}$ satisfying the above equation if it exists. □

Completeness of the algorithm It follows from the definition of the KEM web that if this algorithm is applied recursively then it will always test if the potential is separable in a KEM web. Thus it follows from Theorem 6.9 that this algorithm gives a complete test for separability in spaces of constant curvature. Although if one uses

a ChKT not associated with a KEM web in case 3 of the algorithm, then one can test for separability against more general separable webs.

Practical Implementation In [RM14b] we will describe how to actually implement this algorithm in spaces of constant curvature. To do this, the only problems that remain are the classification of OCTs modulo the action of the isometry group, then obtaining the transformation to Cartesian coordinates for their associated webs and classifying warped product decompositions on these spaces.

This algorithm has been implemented concretely in Euclidean and spherical space by Waksjo and Wojciechowski in their solution [WW03]. Their solution which was more classical, involved Stäckel theory and was based on the work of Kalnins-Miller [Kal86]. They made no use of Benenti's modern formulation of the separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation [Ben97] in terms of Killing tensors which is independent of Stäckel theory.

Like the algorithm in [WW03], in spaces of constant curvature the BEKM separation algorithm reduces to a series of problems in linear algebra. Although for hyperbolic space and Minkowski space-time, one will have to deal with finding the Jordan canonical form of non-diagonalizable (constant) matrices.

Characterization of KEM webs As we have mentioned above, the BEKM separation algorithm is a test for separability in KEM webs. Thus for the purposes of classifying orthogonal separation on a given manifold, one would want a more mathematically convenient definition of a KEM web then the natural one given earlier. First of all, we know that a KEM web is a separable web by Proposition 6.8. We also know that a KEM web is characterized by a hierarchy of orthogonal concircular tensors all diagonalized in adapted coordinates. Generalizing Crampin's observation [Cra03], by calculating the integrability conditions of the defining equation of a concircular tensor, one can show that the Riemann curvature tensor R must satisfy the diagonal curvature condition in adapted coordinates, which is that $R_{ijik} = 0$ for $j \neq k$. Thus coordinates adapted to a KEM web are orthogonal separable coordinates and have diagonal curvature. In [RM14a] we will solve for metrics that satisfy these conditions thereby determining if they characterize KEM webs. We will also use these ideas to prove the KEM separation theorem.

7 Conclusion

In this article we have introduced the notion of KEM webs and have shown that all separable webs in spaces of constant curvature are KEM webs. The remaining theory developed herein can be used for the study of these webs. These webs arise from the freedom that warped products give for constructing Killing tensors. 4.9 in Section 4 can be used to calculate the KS-space of a KEM web. The BEKM separation algorithm can be used to determine the separability of natural Hamiltonians in KEM

webs. Furthermore, the theory developed in Sections 4 and 5 is applicable to any reducible separable web.

Concircular tensors are often studied with the added assumption of simple eigenfunctions, see [Cra03; Ben05] for example. Thus the results in this article can be seen as an application of these tensors when this assumption is weakened to point-wise diagonalization. Since such tensors appear in other areas such as geodesic equivalence [BM03] and cofactor systems (geodesically Hamiltonian systems) [Lun03; CS01; Ben05], one can speculate that results in these areas can be generalized using the ideas presented in this article.

For strictly pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, one can attempt to extend the BEKM separation algorithm by including the case when the CT has complex eigenfunctions. Some relevant results in this area may be found in [DR07; BM13]. Also non-orthogonal separation can occur as well [Ben92b; Ben97]; this case is not considered in this article.

By definition of a KEM web, it follows that concircular tensors are invariant tensors characterizing these webs. Thus, according to Theorem 6.9, every separable web in a space of constant curvature is characterized by a hierarchy of concircular tensors. As we mentioned earlier, this property allows for an algebraic calculation of the KS-space of these webs using Benenti's theory. Thus a related question arises: can one obtain invariant tensors for the webs associated with conformal separation which would allow for the algebraic calculation of the associated KS-space?

In [RM14a] we will give an independent proof of the KEM separation theorem which is an important result within the theory. In [Raj14a] we will show how to generalize Benenti's theory given in [Ben93] and use the results presented in this article to explicitly obtain a basis for the KS-space for KEM webs. In [RM14b] we will classify the concircular tensors in spaces of constant curvature thereby giving another classification of the separable webs in these spaces and enabling one to explicitly apply the BEKM separation algorithm. Finally, all the results presented in this article and the articles just listed can be found in thesis of the first author [Raj14a].

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our appreciation to Dong Eui Chang for his continued interest in this work. The research was supported in part by National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grants (D.E.C. and R.G.M.). The first author would like to thank Spiro Karigiannis for reading his thesis [Raj14a], which contains the contents of this article.

References

[Ben04] S Benenti. "Separability in Riemannian manifolds". In: *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A, to appear* d (2004) (cit. on pp. 1, 25, 31).

[Ben05] S Benenti. "Special Symmetric Two-tensors, Equivalent Dynamical Systems, Cofactor and Bi-cofactor Systems". In: *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 87.1-3 (May 2005), pp. 33–91. ISSN: 0167-8019. DOI: 10.1007/s10440-005-1138-9 (cit. on pp. 1, 2, 25–28, 31, 35).

[Ben92a] S Benenti. "Inertia tensors and Stackel systems in the Euclidean spaces". In: *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Polit. Torino* 50 (1992), pp. 315–341 (cit. on pp. 1, 2, 25).

[Ben92b] S Benenti. "Orthogonal separation of variables on manifolds with constant curvature". In: *Differential Geometry and its Applications* 2.4 (Dec. 1992), pp. 351–367. ISSN: 09262245. DOI: 10.1016/0926-2245(92)90002-5 (cit. on p. 35).

[Ben93] S Benenti. "Orthogonal separable dynamical systems". In: *Differential geometry and its applications (Opava, 1992 ...* (1993), pp. 163–184 (cit. on pp. 1, 35).

[Ben97] S Benenti. "Intrinsic characterization of the variable separation in the HamiltonJacobi equation". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 38.12 (1997), p. 6578. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.532226 (cit. on pp. v, 1, 10, 19, 34, 35).

[BM03] AV Bolsinov and V. S. Matveev. "Geometrical interpretation of Benenti systems". In: *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 44.4 (Jan. 2003), pp. 489–506. ISSN: 03930440. DOI: 10.1016/S0393-0440(02)00054-2 (cit. on p. 35).

[BM13] AV Bolsinov and V. S. Matveev. "Local normal forms for geodesically equivalent pseudo-Riemannian metrics". In: (Jan. 2013), p. 29. arXiv:1301.2492 (cit. on p. 35).

[Cal69] F Calogero. "Solution of a Three-Body Problem in One Dimension". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 10.12 (1969), pp. 2191–2196. DOI: 10.1063/1.1664820 (cit. on p. 32).

[CFS06] B Coll, J J Ferrando, and Juan Antonio Saez. "On the geometry of Killing and conformal tensors". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 47.6 (2006), p. 062503. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.2207717 (cit. on pp. v, 3, 5, 10, 11).

[Cra03] M Crampin. "Conformal Killing tensors with vanishing torsion and the separation of variables in the HamiltonJacobi equation". In: *Differential Geometry and its Applications* 18.1 (Jan. 2003), pp. 87–102. ISSN: 0926-2245. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-2245(02)00140-7 (cit. on pp. 1, 2, 26, 34, 35).

[Cra07] M Crampin. "Concircular vector fields and special conformal Killing tensors". In: *Differential Geometric Methods in Mechanics and Field Theory Academia Press, Gent* (2007), pp. 57–70 (cit. on pp. 2, 28).

[CS01] M Crampin and W Sarlet. "A class of nonconservative Lagrangian systems on Riemannian manifolds". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 42.9 (2001), pp. 4313–4326. DOI: 10.1063/1.1388030 (cit. on p. 35).

[DR07] L Degiovanni and G. Rastelli. "Complex variables for separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation on real pseudo-Riemannian manifolds". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 48.7 (Oct. 2007), p. 073519. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.2747611. arXiv:0610012 [nlin] (cit. on p. 35).

[Eis28] L P Eisenhart. "Dynamical Trajectories and Geodesics". In: *Annals of Mathematics*. Second Series 30.1/4 (Jan. 1928), pp. 591–606. ISSN: 0003486X. DOI: 10.2307/1968307 (cit. on p. 19).

[Eis34] L P Eisenhart. "Separable systems of Stackel". In: *Annals of Mathematics* 35.2 (1934), pp. 749–752 (cit. on pp. 1, 13, 31).

[GVY08] V S Gerdjikov, G Vilasi, and A B Yanovski. "Vector-Valued Differential Forms". In: *Integrable Hamiltonian Hierarchies*. Ed. by V S Gerdjikov, G Vilasi, and A B Yanovski. Vol. 748. Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 459–471. ISBN: 978-3-540-77053-4. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-77054-1_13 (cit. on p. 26).

[HMS09] J. T. Horwood, R G McLenaghan, and Roman G. Smirnov. "HamiltonJacobi theory in three-dimensional Minkowski space via Cartan geometry". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 50.5 (2009), p. 053507. ISSN: 00222488. DOI: 10.1063/1.3094719 (cit. on p. 21).

[Jel00] Wlodzimierz Jelonek. "Killing tensors and warped product". In: *Annales Polonici Mathematici* 75.1 (2000), pp. 15–33 (cit. on p. 19).

[Kal86] E. G. Kalnins. *Separation of variables for Riemannian spaces of constant curvature*. 1st ed. Longman Scientific & Technical, 1986 (cit. on pp. 1, 2, 10, 21, 28, 30, 34).

[KM86] E. G. Kalnins and W. Miller. "Separation of variables on n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. I. The n-sphere S[sub n] and Euclidean n-space R[sub n]". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 27.7 (1986), pp. 1721–1736. DOI: 10.1063/1.527088 (cit. on pp. 1, 13, 31).

[LC04] T Levi-Civita. "Sulla integrazione della equazione di Hamilton-Jacobi per separazione di variabili". Italian. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 59.3 (1904), pp. 383–397. ISSN: 0025-5831. DOI: 10.1007/BF01445149 (cit. on p. 10).

[Lun03] Hans Lundmark. "Higher-Dimensional Integrable Newton Systems with Quadratic Integrals of Motion". In: *Studies in Applied Mathematics* 110.3 (Apr. 2003), pp. 257–296. ISSN: 0022-2526. DOI: 10.1111/1467-9590.00239 (cit. on p. 35).

[MRS99] M Meumertzheim, H Reckziegel, and M Schaaf. "Decomposition of twisted and warped product nets". In: *Results in Mathematics* 36.3-4 (1999), 297–312 LA –English. ISSN: 0378-6218. DOI: 10.1007/BF03322118 (cit. on pp. v, 1, 3–8, 10, 12).

[Nij55] Albert Nijenhuis. "Jacobi-type identities for bilinear differential concomitants of certain tensor fields I". In: *Indagationes Mathematicae* 17 (1955), pp. 390–397 (cit. on p. 9).

[Nol96] Stefan Nolker. "Isometric immersions of warped products". In: *Differential Geometry and its Applications* 6.1 (Mar. 1996), pp. 1–30. ISSN: 0926-2245. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0926-2245(96)00004-6 (cit. on pp. 2, 29).

[O'N83] B. O'Neil. *Semi-Riemannian Geometry: With Applications to Relativity*. 3rd ed. Pure and Applied Mathematics Series v. 103. Acad. Press, 1983, p. 468. ISBN: 9780125267403 (cit. on p. 6).

[Raj14a] Krishan Rajaratnam. "Orthogonal Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation on Spaces of Constant Curvature". Master's thesis. University of Waterloo, 2014 (cit. on pp. 3, 35).

[Raj14b] Krishan Rajaratnam. "Warped products and Spaces of Constant Curvature". In: (2014). arXiv:1404.2547 (cit. on p. 2).

[RM14a] Krishan Rajaratnam and R G McLenaghan. "Classification of Hamilton-Jacobi separation in orthogonal coordinates with diagonal curvature". In: (2014). arXiv:1404.2565 (cit. on pp. 30, 34, 35).

[RM14b] Krishan Rajaratnam and Raymond G. McLenaghan. "Concircular tensors in Spaces of Constant Curvature: With Applications to Orthogonal Separation of The Hamilton-Jacobi Equation". In: (Apr. 2014). arXiv:1404.2847 (cit. on pp. 34, 35).

[RS99] H Reckziegel and M Schaaf. "De Rham decomposition of netted manifolds". In: *Results in Mathematics* 35.1-2 (1999), 175–191 LA –German. ISSN: 0378-6218. DOI: 10.1007/BF03322031 (cit. on pp. v, 4).

[TCS05] G Thompson, M Crampin, and W Sarlet. "Structural equations for a special class of conformal Killing tensors". In: (2005) (cit. on pp. v, 28).

[Woo75] N. M. J. Woodhouse. "Killing tensors and the separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation". In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 44.1 (Feb. 1975), pp. 9–38. ISSN: 0010-3616. DOI: 10.1007/BF01609055 (cit. on pp. 9, 16).

[WW03] Claes Waksjo and Stefan Wojciechowski. "How to Find Separation Coordinates for the Hamilton-Jacobi Equation: A Criterion of Separability for Natural Hamiltonian Systems". In: *Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry* 6.4 (Apr. 2003), pp. 301–348. ISSN: 1385-0172. DOI: 10.1023/B:MPAG.0000007238.37788.2c (cit. on pp. 2, 31, 34).

[Zeg11] Abdelghani Zeghib. “Geometry of Warped Products”. In: (2011), pp. 1–25. arXiv:1107.0411 (cit. on pp. 6, 8).